

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/828,482	04/20/2004	Alfred A. Schroeder	L-0170.91	4863		
41418 75	90 08/23/2005		EXAM	INER		
	S OF CHRISTOPHER	L. MAKAY	SIPOS,	SIPOS, JOHN		
1634 MILAM E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	D, TX 78205-1763		3721			

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/828,482	SCHROEDER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Sipos	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communicABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O	8 March 2005.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	•	·	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 and 38-47 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 & 38-47 are subject to restrict	drawn from consideration.	nent.	
Application Papers			•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corunt of the corunt	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	<b>;</b>
Attachment(s)	0 🗆 1-1	O (DTO 440)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•

## **ELECTION and RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-25 and 38-47 in paper submitted March 11, 2005 is acknowledged. Upon further review of the claims a further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-25, drawn to an evacuation system using a pressuring evacuation member, classified in Class 222, subclass 101.

**Group II.** Claims 38-47, drawn to an evacuation system with a control mechanism, classified in Class 222, subclass 96.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups II and I are related as **combination and subcombination**. A restriction requirement is based on the presumption that all claims of record define patentable inventions. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for its presumed patentability and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations. (See MPEP 806.06(c)). In the instant case, a comparison of combination claim 38 and subcombination claim 1 provides evidence that the combination, as claimed, does not require the particulars of the subcombination, as claimed, for its presumed patentability. Subcombination claim 1 sets forth a evacuation member that presses on the package to dispense the product. Combination claim 38 does not set forth these particulars and, consequently, does not require them for patentability. Even if other combination claims do set forth these particulars, distinction between the *inventions* is shown if any one combination claim does not include the particulars of any one subcombination claim. The presence of the particulars in other

Application/Control Number: 10/828,482 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

combination claims indicates that they *may be* included as part of the combination, but the claims selected above provide evidence that the particulars are not *required*. (See MPEP 806.05(c), Example 3.) The subcombination has separate utility because it can be used in dispensing operations without the rest of the combination, e.g. without the control mechanism.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, and because they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes, as indicated, is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even if the restriction requirement is traversed.

Applicant is reminded that, upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h). Applicant should further amend the title, in necessary, to reflect the elected invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Examiner John Sipos** at telephone number **571-272-4468**. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at 571-272-4467.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3721